

Characterization of Order Statistics in Two Runs Using Conditional Expectation

M. R. Kazemi¹, A. A. jafari^{2*}

¹Department of Statistics, Fasa University, Fasa, Iran

²Department of Statistics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

Abstract

The runs test is a well-known test that is used for checking independence between elements of a sample data sequence. Some of runs tests are based on the longest run and others based on the total runs. In this paper, we consider order statistics of two runs statistics, and obtain their probability mass functions. In addition, the means and variances of the order statistics are derived using traditional conditional expectation.

1 Introduction

One can use a test based upon the notion of runs to test of the hypothesis $H_0 : F(z) = G(z)$, for all z (see for example Hogg and Craig [6], pages 322-326), where F and G are two univariate discrete distribution functions. This notation is defined as follows. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_2}$ be two random samples of sizes n_1 and n_2 from F and G , respectively. By combining these two samples, we have a new sample of size $n = n_1 + n_2$. These values are arranged in order from smallest to largest, and a run constitutes when one or more values of x or y occur together.

The runs test is one of the easiest tests for checking the randomness hypothesis for a two-valued data sequence. More precisely, it can be used to test the hypothesis that the elements of the sequence are mutually independent. The theory of runs has been studied in several books such as Gibbons and Chakraborty [3], Hogg and Craig [6], Randles and Wolfe [10] and Govindarajulu [5], and has various applications, for example in reliability, quality control, and so on. Some runs' tests are based on the longest run length, while others are based on the number of total runs. Mood [9] derived the distribution of runs of given length of fixed

*Corresponding author. A. A. Jafari. Email: aaajafari@yazd.ac.ir

number of elements of two or more kinds. [12] used runs statistic to test whether two samples are from the same population, and Wolfowitz [13] derived an asymptotic distribution of the nonparametric runs statistic.

Asano [1] found the distribution of runs statistic and suggested a method for testing whether two samples observed on a circle are drawn from the same distribution. Schwager [11] considered n trials with $\nu \geq 2$ outcomes, and computed the probability occurrence of a given success run, success-failure runs, and multiple runs in sequences of Markov dependent trials. Godbole and Gomowiez [4] found the exact and approximate distributions of the total number of runs in sequences of n Bernoulli trials. Lou [7] studied the the distribution of runs using a method of finite Markov chain imbedding, and found the exact joint and conditional distribution of runs.

To analyze runs and find their distributions, some methods use the traditional combinatorial approach, and some use properties based in Markov chain. For a complete review on these approaches, refer to Balakrishnan and Koutras [2]. An efficient method to derive the mean and variance of the total number of runs based on a conditional approach was utilized Marshall [8].

In this paper, we consider the order statistics of two runs of x values and of y values, i.e. maximum of two runs and minimum of two runs, and we find their probability mass functions (pmf's), means, and variances using the conditional approach. This paper is organised as follows: in Section 2, some properties of runs are proposed. The pms's of order statistics and their properties are given in Section 3. For illustration purpose, two examples are studied in Section 4.

2 Preliminary study

Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n_1}$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{n_2}$ be two random samples of sizes n_1 and n_2 , respectively selected from a same population. The total number of runs, total number of runs of x 's, total number of runs of y 's are denoted by R , R_1 and R_2 , respectively. The following two properties are easy to understand (see for example Hogg and Craig [6], page 324):

- i) If the arrangement begins and finishes with x values (or y values), then $R_1 = R_2 \pm 1$.
- ii) If the arrangement begins with x values and finishes with y values and vice versa, then $R_1 = R_2$.

The n_1 objects of x 's values can be put into r_1 cells in $\binom{n_1-1}{r_1-1}$ ways and for each choice of this, n_2 objects of y 's values can be partitioned into r_2 parts in $\binom{n_2-1}{r_2-1}$ ways. If $r_1 = r_2 \pm 1$, a run of y 's or x 's values must come first. If $r_1 = r_2$, the sequence can begin with a run of

either type, so that the number of distinct arrangements have to be multiplied by 2. Also, we know that the total number of permutations of n_1 objects of x 's and n_2 objects of y 's values is $\binom{n_1+n_2}{n_1}$. Therefore, the joint pmf of nonparametric random variables R_1 and R_2 is

$$f_{R_1, R_2}(r_1, r_2) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } r_1 = r_2 \pm 1 \\ 2v & \text{if } r_1 = r_2, \end{cases}$$

where $v = \frac{\binom{n_1-1}{r_1-1}\binom{n_2-1}{r_2-1}}{\binom{n}{n_1}}$, and $n = n_1 + n_2$. Readers can see for example Hogg and Craig [6] page 78, Govindarajulu [5] page 206, and Gibbons and Chakraborty [3] page 79.

Using the following lemma, we can compute the $P(R_1 > R_2)$, $P(R_1 < R_2)$ and $P(R_1 = R_2)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let R_1 and R_2 be the total number of runs of x values and y values, respectively. Then*

a.

$$P(R_1 = R_2) = \frac{2n_1n_2}{n(n-1)}$$

b.

$$P(R_1 > R_2) = \frac{n_1(n_1-1)}{n(n-1)}$$

c.

$$P(R_1 < R_2) = \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{n(n-1)}.$$

Proof. a.

$$\begin{aligned} P(R_1 = R_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n_1, n_2)} P(R_1 = i, R_2 = i) \\ &= \frac{2}{\binom{n_1+n_2}{n_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\min(n_1, n_2)} \binom{n_1-1}{i-1} \binom{n_2-1}{i-1} \\ &= \frac{2}{\binom{n_1+n_2}{n_1}} \frac{\Gamma(n_1+n_2-1)}{\Gamma(n_1)\Gamma(n_2)} \\ &= \frac{2n_1n_2}{n(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

b.

$$\begin{aligned} P(R_1 > R_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_1-1} P(R_1 = i+1, R_2 = i) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n_1+n_2}{n_1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1-1} \binom{n_1-1}{i} \binom{n_2-1}{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\binom{n_1 + n_2}{n_1}} \frac{\Gamma(n_1 + n_2 - 1)}{\Gamma(n_1 - 1) \Gamma(n_2 + 1)} \\
&= \frac{n_1(n_1 - 1)}{n(n - 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

c. The proof of part c is the same as part b. $\square$

3 The order statistics of runs

In this section, we characterize the nonparametric statistics $R_M = \max(R_1, R_2)$ and $R_m = \min(R_1, R_2)$ by means of conditional expectation, and we derive their expectation values, variances, and covariance between them. It can be shown that the pmf of the nonparametric statistic R_M has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
P(R_M = t) &= P(R_M = t | R_1 > R_2) P(R_1 > R_2) \\
&\quad + P(R_M = t | R_1 < R_2) P(R_1 < R_2) \\
&\quad + P(R_M = t | R_1 = R_2) P(R_1 = R_2) \\
&= P(R_1 = t, R_2 = t - 1) P(R_1 > R_2) \\
&\quad + P(R_1 = t - 1, R_2 = t) P(R_1 < R_2) \\
&\quad + P(R_1 = t, R_2 = t) P(R_1 = R_2) \\
&= \frac{\binom{n_1 - 1}{t - 1} \binom{n_2 - 1}{t - 2}}{\binom{n_1 + n_2}{n_1}} \cdot \frac{n_1(n_1 - 1)}{n(n - 1)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\binom{n_1 - 1}{t - 2} \binom{n_2 - 1}{t - 1}}{\binom{n_1 + n_2}{n_1}} \cdot \frac{n_2(n_2 - 1)}{n(n - 1)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\binom{n_1 - 1}{t - 1} \binom{n_2 - 1}{t - 1}}{\binom{n_1 + n_2}{n_1}} \cdot \frac{2n_1 n_2}{n(n - 1)}, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, \max(n_1, n_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Computing the mean and variance of R_M by using the above pmf requires tedious calculations. To solve this problem, we make use of another method which is used by Marshall [8]. We claim that our method is simple and has pedagogical advantages.

As known, the sample space Ω can be partitioned as $\Omega = \{R_1 < R_2\} \cup \{R_1 > R_2\} \cup \{R_1 = R_2\}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E(R_M) &= E(R_M | R_1 > R_2) P(R_1 > R_2) \\ &\quad + E(R_M | R_1 < R_2) P(R_1 < R_2) \\ &\quad + E(R_M | R_1 = R_2) P(R_1 = R_2). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

To simplify (1), we need to compute $E(R_M | R_1 > R_2)$, $E(R_M | R_1 < R_2)$, and $E(R_M | R_1 = R_2)$. We can compute these values using the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R_1 and R_2 be the total number of runs of x values and y values, respectively. Then*

i.

$$E(R_M | R_1 > R_2) = 2 + \frac{(n_1 - 2)(n_2 - 1)}{n - 2},$$

ii.

$$E(R_M | R_1 < R_2) = 2 + \frac{(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 2)}{n - 2},$$

iii.

$$E(R_M | R_1 = R_2) = 1 + \frac{(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)}{n - 2},$$

where $n = n_1 + n_2$.

Proof. i. Note that when $R_1 > R_2$, the arrangement begins with some of x observations and finishes with other x observations. Therefore, we can use the following diagram:

Allow y values to be laid out in a row, and place x values in the spaces between those y values, and let our diagram begin and finish with some of x values. Then, the total number of runs of x values is distributed as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} W_1 & & W_2 & & W_3 & & W_{n_2} & & W_{n_2+1} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ -, y_1, & -, & y_2, & -, & y_3, \dots, & y_{n_2-1}, & -, & y_{n_2}, & - \end{array} \tag{D-1}$$

where $W_i, i = 2, 3, \dots, n_2$ has the following form

$$W_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{a run occurs in place } i \\ 0, & \text{other wise,} \end{cases}$$

and W_1 and W_{n_2+1} are equal to 1. Using the above discussion, we can write

$$(R_1 | R_1 > R_2) = 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} W_i.$$

Therefore,

$$E(R_M | R_1 > R_2) = 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} E(W_i) = 2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} P(\text{a run occurs in place } i).$$

The event {a run occurs in place i } is equivalent to the case that we have $n_2 + 1$ boxes and we want to put $n_1 - 2$ nuts into these $n_2 + 1$ boxes where the box i should consist of at least one x (nut). Then,

$$P(\text{a run occurs in place } i) = \frac{\binom{n-3}{n_1-3}}{\binom{n-2}{n_1-2}} = \frac{n_1-2}{n-2}.$$

So we have

$$E(R_M | R_1 > R_2) = 2 + \frac{(n_1-2)(n_2-1)}{(n-2)}.$$

ii. The proof of this part is the same as part i.

iii. The proof of this part also is the same as part (i) with only some changes in the diagram. When the event $\{R_1 = R_2\}$ occurs, it means that in the permutation of x values and y values, permutation begins with some x values and ends with some y values and vice versa as below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \begin{array}{c} W_1 \\ \uparrow \\ -, y_1, -, y_2, -, y_3, \dots, y_{n_2-1}, -, y_{n_2} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} W_2 \\ \uparrow \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} W_3 \\ \uparrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} W_{n_2} \\ \uparrow \end{array} & \text{or} & \begin{array}{c} W_1^* \\ \uparrow \\ -, x_1, -, x_2, -, x_3, \dots, x_{n_1-1}, -, x_{n_1} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} W_2^* \\ \uparrow \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} W_3^* \\ \uparrow \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} W_{n_1}^* \\ \uparrow \end{array} \end{array} \quad (\text{D-2})$$

where $W_i, i = 2, 3, \dots, n_2$ and $W_j^*, j = 2, 3, \dots, n_1$ have the following form

$$W_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{a run occurs in place } i \\ 0, & \text{other wise,} \end{cases} \quad W_j^* = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{a run occurs in place } j \\ 0, & \text{other wise,} \end{cases}$$

and W_1 and W_1^* are equal 1. By using the above discussion and since

$$R_M = \frac{1}{2} \{R_1 + R_2 + |R_1 - R_2|\},$$

we have

$$(R_M | R_1 = R_2) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} W_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n_1} W_i^* = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} W_i,$$

Therefore,

$$E(R_M | R_1 = R_2) = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} E(W_i) = 1 + \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{n-2},$$

and the proof is completed. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. Let R_1 and R_2 be the total numbers of runs of x values and y values, respectively.

Then,

i.

$$Var(R_M | R_1 > R_2) = \frac{n_2(n_2 - 1)(n_1 - 2)(n_1 - 1)}{(n - 2)^2(n - 3)}, \quad n > 3,$$

ii.

$$Var(R_M | R_1 < R_2) = \frac{n_1(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 2)(n_2 - 1)}{(n - 2)^2(n - 3)}, \quad n > 3,$$

iii.

$$Var(R_M | R_1 = R_2) = \frac{(n_2 - 1)^2(n_1 - 1)^2}{(n - 2)^2(n - 3)}, \quad n > 3.$$

Proof. i. From (D-1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Var(W_i) &= E(W_i^2) - (E(W_i))^2 = E(W_i) - (E(W_i))^2 \\ &= E(W_i)[1 - E(W_i)] = \frac{n_1 - 2}{n - 2} \left(1 - \frac{n_1 - 2}{n - 2}\right) = \frac{(n_1 - 2)n_2}{(n - 2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we need to verify $E(W_i W_j)$. For this, note that

$$E(W_i W_j) = P(\text{two runs occur in places } i \text{ and } j).$$

The event $\{\text{two runs occur in places } i \text{ and } j\}$ is equivalent to the case that we have $n_2 + 1$ boxes and we want to put $n_1 - 2$ nuts into these $n_2 + 1$ boxes where the boxes i and j should consist of at least one x . Then

$$P(\text{two runs occur in places } i \text{ and } j) = P(W_i = 1 \text{ and } W_j = 1) = \frac{\binom{n - 4}{n_1 - 4}}{\binom{n - 2}{n_1 - 2}} = \frac{(n_1 - 2)(n_1 - 3)}{(n - 2)(n - 3)}.$$

And then, for $i \neq j$

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(W_i, W_j) &= E(W_i W_j) - E(W_i)E(W_j) \\ &= \frac{(n_1 - 2)(n_1 - 3)}{(n - 2)(n - 3)} - \frac{(n_1 - 2)^2}{(n - 2)^2} = -\frac{(n_1 - 2)n_2}{(n - 2)^2(n - 3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Var(R_M | R_1 > R_2) &= Var\left(2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} W_i\right) = \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} Var(W_i) + \sum_{i \neq j}^{n_2} Cov(W_i, W_j) \\ &= \frac{(n_2 - 1)(n_1 - 2)n_2}{(n - 2)^2} - \frac{(n_2 - 1)(n_2 - 2)(n_1 - 2)n_2}{(n - 2)^2(n - 3)} \\ &= \frac{n_2(n_2 - 1)(n_1 - 2)(n_1 - 1)}{(n - 2)^2(n - 3)}. \end{aligned}$$

- ii. The proof is similar to part i.
- iii. From (D-2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Var(W_i) &= E(W_i^2) - (E(W_i))^2 = E(W_i) - (E(W_i))^2 \\ &= E(W_i)[1 - E(W_i)] = \frac{(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)}{(n - 2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for $i \neq j$

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(W_i, W_j) &= E(W_i W_j) - E(W_i) E(W_j) = \frac{(n_1 - 1)(n_1 - 2)}{(n - 2)(n - 3)} - \frac{(n_1 - 1)^2}{(n - 2)^2} \\ &= \frac{n_1 - 1}{n - 2} \left(\frac{n_1 - 2}{n - 3} - \frac{n_1 - 1}{n - 2} \right) = -\frac{(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)}{(n - 3)(n - 2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Var(R_M | R_1 = R_2) &= Var(R_1 | R_1 = R_2) = Var\left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} W_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} Var(W_i) + \sum_{i \neq j}^{n_2} Cov(W_i, W_j) \\ &= \frac{(n_2 - 1)^2 (n_1 - 1)}{(n - 2)^2} - \frac{(n_2 - 1)^2 (n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 2)}{(n - 3)(n - 2)^2} \\ &= \frac{(n_2 - 1)^2 (n_1 - 1)^2}{(n - 2)^2 (n - 3)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.1. *Let R_1 and R_2 be two runs of x values and y values, respectively. Then,*

$$E(R_M) = 2 + \frac{n(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1) - 2n_1 n_2}{n(n - 1)} \quad (2)$$

$$Var(R_M) = \frac{n_1 n_2 [n_1^3 + n_2^3 + n_1^3 n_2 + n_1 n_2^3 - n_1^2 - n_2^2 + 2n_1^2 n_2^2 - 5n_1^2 n_2 - 5n_1 n_2^2 + 6n_1 n_2]}{n^2(n - 1)^2(n - 2)}. \quad (3)$$

Proof. See the Appendix A.1. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let R_1 and R_2 be the total number of runs of x values and y values, respectively. Then*

i.

$$E(R_m | R_1 > R_2) = 2 + \frac{(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 2)}{n - 2},$$

ii.

$$E(R_m | R_1 < R_2) = 2 + \frac{(n_1 - 2)(n_2 - 1)}{n - 2},$$

iii.

$$E(R_m | R_1 = R_2) = 1 + \frac{(n_1 - 1)(n_2 - 1)}{n - 2}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let R_1 and R_2 be the total numbers of runs of n_1x -type values and n_2y -type values, respectively. Then,*

i.

$$Var(R_m | R_1 > R_2) = \frac{n_1(n_1-1)(n_2-2)(n_2-1)}{(n-2)^2(n-3)}, \quad n > 3,$$

ii.

$$Var(R_m | R_1 < R_2) = \frac{n_2(n_2-1)(n_1-2)(n_1-1)}{(n-2)^2(n-3)}, \quad n > 3,$$

iii.

$$Var(R_m | R_1 = R_2) = \frac{(n_2-1)^2(n_1-1)^2}{(n-2)^2(n-3)}, \quad n > 3$$

.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 3.2. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let R_1 and R_2 be two runs of x values and y values, respectively. Then*

$$E(R_m) = \frac{n_1 n_2}{n-1}, \quad Var(R_m) = \frac{n_1 n_2 (n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{(n-1)^2(n-2)}, \quad n > 2.$$

Proof. See the Appendix A.2. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let R_1 and R_2 be two runs of x values and y values, respectively. Then,*

$$Cov(R_m, R_M) = \frac{n_1 n_2 (n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{n(n-1)^2}.$$

Proof. The variance of the total number of runs, R , was obtained by Marshall [8] which has the following form:

$$Var(R) = \frac{2n_1 n_2 (2n_1 n_2 - n)}{n^2(n-1)}.$$

This formula helps us to find the covariance of the nonparametric statistics R_m and R_M as

$$Var(R) = Var(R_m + R_M) = Var(R_m) + Var(R_M) + 2Cov(R_m, R_M),$$

and the proof is completed. □

4 Two illustrative examples

In this section, we give two examples to illustrate how our calculations work.

Example 4.1. We take $n_1 = 3$ and $n_2 = 2$. In the following table, we gave the possible permutations, number of runs of x values (R_1), number of runs of y values (R_2), the minimum value of R_1 and R_2 , and maximum value of R_1 and R_2 .

permutations	R_1	R_2	R_m	R_M
$xxxyy$	1	1	1	1
$xyxyy$	2	2	2	2
$xyyyx$	2	1	1	2
$xyxxy$	2	2	2	2
$xyyxx$	2	1	1	2
$xyxyx$	3	2	2	3
$yxxxy$	1	2	1	2
$yxyxx$	2	2	2	2
$yxyxx$	2	2	2	2
$yyxxx$	1	1	1	1

Therefore, The joint pmf of R_m and R_M has the following form:

(s, t)	1	2	3	$P(R_m = s)$
1	0.2	0.3	0.0	0.5
2	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.5
$P(R_M = t)$	0.2	0.7	0.1	1.0

Then, we have,

$$E(R_m) = 1.5, \quad \text{Var}(R_m) = 0.25, \quad E(R_M) = 1.9, \quad \text{Var}(R_M) = 0.29, \quad \text{cov}(R_m, R_M) = 0.15,$$

which agree with the results of Theorems 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3.

Example 4.2. Here, we considered different values of n_1 and n_2 , and obtained the joint pmf of R_m and R_M . The marginal pmf's of these statistics are given in Table 1. We also calculated the expectation, variance, and covariance of R_m and R_M directly using these pmf's. These are agree with the results of Theorems 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3.

5 Conclusion

The theory of runs has been studied in many literature and has various applications such as testing the identically distributed and randomness hypotheses. In this article, we consider the order statistics of runs, i.e. maximum and minimum of two runs, and find their pmf's. Computing the means and variances of these nonparametric statistics by using their pmf's require tedious calculations. Therefore, the conditional expectation method are used to solve this problem.

Table 1: The marginal probability mass function, expectation, variance, and covariance of R_m and R_M for given n_1 and n_2

	(n_1, n_2)									
	3,3		12,3		10,5		8,7		9,9	
i	R_m	R_M	R_m	R_M	R_m	R_M	R_m	R_M	R_m	R_M
1	0.3	0.1	0.033	0.004	0.005	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000
2	0.6	0.6	0.363	0.125	0.090	0.028	0.049	0.015	0.012	0.003
3	0.1	0.3	0.604	0.508	0.360	0.210	0.245	0.134	0.097	0.041
4				0.363	0.420	0.440	0.408	0.364	0.290	0.194
5					0.126	0.280	0.245	0.354	0.363	0.363
6						0.042	0.049	0.121	0.194	0.290
7							0.002	0.012	0.041	0.097
8								0.000	0.003	0.012
9									0.000	0.000
Expectation	1.80	2.20	2.571	3.228	3.571	4.095	4.000	4.466	4.764	5.235
Variance	0.36	0.36	0.310	0.453	0.706	0.767	0.923	0.925	1.121	1.121
Covariance	0.24		0.269		0.612		0.800		0.996	

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the Editor and referees for helpful comments and suggestions.

Appendix

A.1. Proof of Theorem 3.1

Using Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E(R_M) &= E(R_M|R_1 > R_2)P(R_1 > R_2) + E(R_M|R_1 < R_2)P(R_1 < R_2) \\
&\quad + E(R_M|R_1 = R_2)P(R_1 = R_2) \\
&= \left(2 + \frac{(n_1-2)(n_2-1)}{n-2}\right) \times \frac{n_1(n_1-1)}{n(n-1)} + \left(2 + \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-2)}{n-2}\right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{n(n-1)} + \left(1 + \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{n-2}\right) \times \frac{2n_1n_2}{n(n-1)} \\
&= 2 + \frac{(n_1+n_2)(n_1-1)(n_2-1) - 2n_1n_2}{(n_1+n_2)(n_1+n_2-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(R_M) &= Var(R_M|R_1 > R_2)P(R_1 > R_2) + Var(R_M|R_1 < R_2)P(R_1 < R_2) \\
&\quad + Var(R_M|R_1 = R_2)P(R_1 = R_2) + (E(R_M|R_1 > R_2))^2P(R_1 > R_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +(E(R_M|R_1 < R_2))^2 P(R_1 < R_2) + (E(R_M|R_1 = R_2))^2 P(R_1 = R_2) \\
& - (E(R_M))^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So, by substituting appropriate formulas, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(R_M) &= \frac{n_2(n_2-1)(n_1-2)(n_1-1)}{(n-2)^2(n-3)} \times \frac{n_1(n_1-1)}{n(n-1)} \\
&+ \frac{n_1(n_1-1)(n_2-2)(n_2-1)}{(n-2)^2(n-3)} \times \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{n(n-1)} \\
&+ \frac{(n_2-1)^2(n_1-1)^2}{(n-2)^2(n-3)} \times \frac{2n_1n_2}{n(n-1)} \\
&+ \left(2 + \frac{(n_1-2)(n_2-1)}{n-2}\right)^2 \times \frac{n_1(n_1-1)}{n(n-1)} \\
&+ \left(2 + \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-2)}{n-2}\right)^2 \times \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{n(n-1)} \\
&+ \left(1 + \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{n-2}\right)^2 \times \frac{2n_1n_2}{n(n-1)} \\
&- \left(2 + \frac{n(n_1-1)(n_2-1) - 2n_1n_2}{n(n-1)}\right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the proof is completed through some tedious calculations.

A.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2

By using the mean of the total number of runs, R , which was obtained by Marshall [8], we have $E(R) = 1 + \frac{2n_1n_2}{n}$. Also, $E(R) = E(R_M) + E(R_m)$. So, by using the first part of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$E(R_m) = \frac{n_1n_2}{n-1}.$$

For the second part of the theorem, similar to Theorem 3.1 and using the lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(R_M) &= \frac{n_1(n_1-1)(n_2-2)(n_2-1)}{(n-2)^2(n-3)} \times \frac{n_1(n_1-1)}{n(n-1)} \\
&+ \frac{n_2(n_2-1)(n_1-2)(n_1-1)}{(n-2)^2(n-3)} \times \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{n(n-1)} \\
&+ \frac{(n_2-1)^2(n_1-1)^2}{(n-2)^2(n-3)} \times \frac{2n_1n_2}{n(n-1)} \\
&+ \left(2 + \frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-2)}{n-2}\right)^2 \times \frac{n_1(n_1-1)}{n(n-1)} \\
&+ \left(2 + \frac{(n_1-2)(n_2-1)}{n-2}\right)^2 \times \frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{n(n-1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\left(1+\frac{(n_1-1)(n_2-1)}{n-2}\right)^2 \times \frac{2n_1n_2}{n(n-1)} - \left(\frac{n_1n_2}{n-1}\right)^2.$$

The proof is completed through some tedious calculations.

References

- [1] C. Asano. Runs test for a circular distribution and a table of probabilities. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 17(1):331–346, 1965.
- [2] N. Balakrishnan and M. V. Koutras. *Runs and Scans with Applications*. Wiley, New York, 2002.
- [3] J. D. Gibbons and S. Chakraborti. *Nonparametric Statistical Inference*. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2003.
- [4] A. P. Godbole and M. C. Gornowicz. Exact and approximate runs distributions. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 21(8):2151–2167, 1992.
- [5] Z. Govindarajulu. *Nonparametric Inference*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, Singapore, 2007.
- [6] R. V. Hogg and A. T. Craig. *Introduction to Mathematical Statistic*. Macmillan Publishing Co., New York, 1978.
- [7] W. Y. W. Lou. On runs and longest run tests: a method of finite Markov chain imbedding. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 91(436):1595–1601, 1996.
- [8] C. W. Marshall. A simple derivation of the mean and variance of the number of runs in an ordered sample. *The American Statistician*, 24(4):27–28, 1970.
- [9] A. M. Mood. The distribution theory of runs. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 11(4):367–392, 1940.
- [10] R. H. Randles and D. A. Wolfe. *Introduction to the Theory of Nonparametric Statistics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1979.
- [11] S. J. Schwager. Run probabilities in sequences of Markov-dependent trials. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 78(381):168–175, 1983.

- [12] A. Wald and J. Wolfowitz. On a test whether two samples are from the same population. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 11(2):147–162, 1940.
- [13] J. Wolfowitz. Asymptotic distribution of runs up and down. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 15(2):163–172, 1944.